

Time Reversal

TR changes the time coordinate of a wf: $T\psi(t, \bar{x}) = \psi(-t, \bar{x})$. What operator does this? $A_{\vec{p}}^{\dagger} = A_{-\vec{p}}^{\dagger}$ is good but not enough. It should also be the case that $[T, H] = 0$. If you accept this, you can derive:

$$\psi(t, \bar{x}) = e^{iHt} \psi(\bar{x}) e^{-iHt}$$

Apply T to both sides. Since T commutes with the hamiltonian,

$$T\psi(t, \bar{x})T = e^{iHt} T\psi(\bar{x}) e^{-iHt} T$$

$$\underbrace{T\psi(t, \bar{x})T|0\rangle}_{\substack{\psi(-t, \bar{x}) \text{ contains} \\ b^{\dagger} e^{-i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}}} = e^{iHt} T\psi(\bar{x}) T e^{-iHt} |0\rangle$$

$\uparrow$ contains $b^{\dagger} e^{i\vec{p}\cdot\vec{x}}$

which is in conflict. Therefore T must be anti unitary since it must take $\psi \rightarrow \psi^*$.

Note that anti-unitary here doesn't mean $\psi^{\dagger} = \psi^{-1}$ it means there's an extra added instruction. $T^{\dagger}$ must include a matrix T and complex conjugation. Note that since a, b have spin and $\text{spin} = \vec{r} \otimes \vec{p}$ then $a_{\vec{p}}^{st} \rightarrow a_{-\vec{p}}^{-st}$. After lot of algebra we find

$$T\psi(\bar{x}, t) = \underbrace{\gamma^1 \gamma^3}_T \psi(-t, \bar{x})$$

$$T\gamma^{\mu}T = (\Lambda_T^{-1})^{\mu}_{\nu} \gamma^{\nu}$$

$$T\gamma^{\mu*}T = \begin{cases} -\gamma^0 \\ +\vec{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

$T = \gamma^1 \gamma^3$ satisfies this (exercise to the reader)

Now we can do what we did for the parity case. Look at the bilinears.

$$\begin{aligned} \textcircled{1} \quad T^{\dagger} \bar{\psi}(\bar{x}, t) T^{\dagger} &= (T^{\dagger} \psi T^{\dagger})^{\dagger} \gamma^{0*} \leftarrow \text{must look at this too} \\ &= \bar{\psi}(\bar{x}, -t) [-\gamma^3] \end{aligned}$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad T^{\dagger} \bar{\psi} \psi T^{\dagger} = \bar{\psi}(\bar{x}, -t) (-\gamma^1 \gamma^3) (\gamma^1 \gamma^3) \psi(\bar{x}, t) = \bar{\psi}(\bar{x}, -t) \psi(\bar{x}, t)$$

Behaves like a scalar!!

$$\textcircled{3} \quad T^{\dagger} (\bar{\psi} i \gamma^5 \psi) T^{\dagger} = -i \bar{\psi}(\bar{x}, -t) (-\gamma^1 \gamma^3) \gamma^5 (\gamma^1 \gamma^3) \psi(\bar{x}, t) = -i (\bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi) \text{ at } \bar{x}, -t.$$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad T^{\dagger} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \psi T^{\dagger} =$$

$$= \begin{cases} \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi \\ -\bar{\psi} \vec{\gamma} \psi \end{cases}$$

$\leftarrow$ why??? zeroth component is charge and 1,2,3 is current. Physically this is exactly what we expect

$$\text{recall } \gamma^1 \gamma^3 \gamma^{\mu*} = \begin{cases} -\gamma^0 \\ \vec{\gamma} \end{cases}$$

Charge Conjugation

CC is not part of Lorentz transformations, so it's not necessary that ψ be a representation of C . Let's see if that happens.

$$\left. \begin{aligned} C a_p^s C &= b_p^s \\ C b_p^s C &= a_p^s \end{aligned} \right\} \text{what we expect}$$

What does C do to ψ ? It involves a lot of algebra which we won't do here.

$$V^s(p) = i\gamma^2 U^s(p)^* \quad U^s(p) = i\gamma^2 V^s(p)^* \quad \text{then:}$$

$$C\psi(x)C = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_p}} \sum_s b_p^s e^{-ip \cdot x} \underbrace{i\gamma^2 V_{(p)}^{s*}}_{U^s(p)} + a_p^{st} e^{ip \cdot x} \underbrace{i\gamma^2 U_{(p)}^{s*}}_{V^s(p)} \quad \leftarrow \text{what is this?}$$

$$= i\gamma^2 \psi_{(x)}^* = i\gamma^2 (\psi^\dagger)^T = i\gamma^2 (\bar{\psi} \gamma^0)^T = i(\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^2)^T$$

$\leftarrow \text{use } \gamma^{2T} = \gamma^2$

$$C\bar{\psi}C = (i\gamma^0 \gamma^2 \psi)^T \quad \therefore \quad C \text{ turns } \psi \text{ into } \bar{\psi}^T \text{ and } \bar{\psi} \text{ into } \psi^T \text{ with stuff in front so } C_{\text{matrix}} = i\gamma^0 \gamma^2$$

$$C^\circ \bar{\psi} C^\circ = (i\gamma^0 \gamma^2 \psi)^T = (C\psi)^T$$

$$C^\circ \psi C^\circ = (i\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^2)^T = (\bar{\psi} C)^T$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bilinear Constant: } C^\circ \bar{\psi} \psi C^\circ &= (i\gamma^0 \gamma^2 \psi)^T (i\bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^2)^T \\ &= -\psi^T \underbrace{(\gamma^2)^T (\gamma^0)^T (\gamma^2)^T (\gamma^0)^T}_{\substack{\gamma^2 \quad \gamma^0 \quad \gamma^2 \quad \gamma^0 \\ = +1}} \bar{\psi}^T \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\psi^T \bar{\psi}^T$$

$$= \bar{\psi} \psi + \text{infinite constant}$$

$\downarrow$
0

$$:aa^\dagger: \equiv -a^\dagger a \text{ for fermions}$$

$::$ means all a 's and b 's are right of $a^\dagger$ and $b^\dagger$

$$\underline{C^\psi i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi C^\psi = i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C^\psi \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi C^\psi &= (i \gamma^0 \gamma^2 \psi)^T \gamma^\mu (i \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \gamma^2)^T \\ &\stackrel{\text{this is a current so we expect a sign change}}{=} -\psi^T \gamma^{2T} \gamma^{0T} \gamma^\mu \gamma^{2T} \gamma^{0T} \bar{\psi}^T \\ &= -\psi^T \underbrace{\gamma^2 \gamma^0 \gamma^\mu \gamma^2 \gamma^0}_{=-\gamma^{\mu T}} \bar{\psi}^T \\ &= \psi^T \gamma^{\mu T} \bar{\psi}^T \\ &= -\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi + \cancel{0} \end{aligned}$$

So now we know what CPT does. Very important to particle physics. It was thought that C, P, T ~~add~~ separately were symmetries of all Lagrangians.

However, the weak interaction violates P, T weakly. What happens to all 3 together? CPT together is always a symmetry of all local field theories like QFT. We can write down all possible bilinear forms.

	∂_μ	$\bar{\psi} \psi$	$i \bar{\psi} \gamma^5 \psi$	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi$ ^{current}	$\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi$	$\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$
P	$(-1)^\mu$	1	-1	$(-1)^\mu$	$-(-1)^\mu$	$(-1)^\mu (-1)^\nu$
T	$-(-1)^\mu$	1	-1	$(-1)^\mu$	$(-1)^\mu$	$-(-1)^\mu (-1)^\nu$
C	1	1	1	-1	1	-1
	-1	1	1	-1	-1	1

$-(-1)^\mu$: behaves as a pseudo vector

$(-1)^\mu$: " " " vector

note: $\bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \psi$: generator of chiral transformations which leaves Lagrangian invariant if mass equals zero.
 $\bar{\psi} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$: $\sigma^{\mu\nu}$ in low energy limit gives anomalous magnetic moment.

It looks like stuff isn't invariant under CPT! Look at the -1. However, look at interactions. We need to show that $CPT = +1$ $\forall$ lagrangians. $\mathcal{L}$ is a Lorentz scalar. All our scalars above are CPT +1. All our vectors are -1 but vector $\cdot$ vector has CPT +1. A tricky case is the Dirac eqn itself.

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \bar{\Psi}(i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m)\Psi$$

$$P^\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_0(t, \vec{x}) P^\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{L}_0(t, -\vec{x}) \quad \text{is OK}$$

$$T^\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_0(t, \vec{x}) T^\mathcal{P} = \text{tricky but recall } i \rightarrow -i \text{ since } T \text{ is anti-unitary} \\ \text{but we do get } \mathcal{L}_0(-t, \vec{x}).$$

$$C^\mathcal{P} \mathcal{L}_0(t, \vec{x}) C^\mathcal{P} = -(\partial_\mu \bar{\Psi}) i \gamma^\mu \Psi$$